

1 LOEB & LOEB LLP
2 MICHELLE LA MAR (State Bar No. 163038)
mlamar@loeb.com
3 BENJAMIN R. KING (State Bar No. 205447)
bking@loeb.com
4 JON DARYANANI (State Bar No. 205149)
jdaryanani@loeb.com
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200
5 Los Angeles, California 90067-4120
Telephone: 310-282-2000
6 Facsimile: 310-282-2200

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
WILLIAM A. ESCOBAR (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)
wescobar@kelleydrye.com
ROBERT I. STEINER (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)
rsteiner@kelleydrye.com
KEVIN J. SMITH (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)
ksmith@kelleydrye.com
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178
Telephone: (212) 808-7800
Facsimile: (212) 808-7897

13 Attorneys for Defendants
TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL
14 CORPORATION, TATA CONSULTANCY
SERVICES, LTD. and TATA SONS, LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA) Case No. 06 CIV 963 (VRW)
BERI, on behalf of themselves and all others)
similarly situated,)
20 Plaintiffs,) **STIPULATION AND ORDER**
21 v.) **EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO**
22) **RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED**
23 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL) **COMPLAINT**
CORPORATION, a New York corporation;)
24 TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD.,) **[N.D. Local Rule 6-1]**
an Indian corporation; and TATA SONS,)
25 LTD., an Indian corporation,)
26 Defendants.)

1 Pursuant to Rule 6-1 of this Court's Civil Local Rules, Plaintiffs Gopi Vedachalam and
2 Kangana Beri, and defendants Tata America International Corporation, Tata Consultancy
3 Services, Ltd. and Tata Sons, Ltd. (collectively "Defendants"), by and amongst themselves, hereby
4 stipulate as follows:

5 Defendants' time to respond to the First Amended Complaint in the above-captioned
6 matter shall be extended by an additional 29 days (from June 15, 2006 to July 14, 2006).

7 Defendants have received no prior extensions of their time to respond to the First Amended
8 Complaint.

9 The parties further acknowledge that Defendants' motion to dismiss the original complaint
10 in this matter is withdrawn without prejudice and is taken off calendar. However, Defendants'
11 motion to compel arbitration and to

12 / / /

13 / / /

14 / / /

15 / / /

16 / / /

17 / / /

18 / / /

19 / / /

20 / / /

21 / / /

22 / / /

23 / / /

24 / / /

25 / / /

26 / / /

27 / / /

28 / / /

1 dismiss, or alternatively, to stay the action shall remain on calendar (for hearing on July 13, 2006
2 as previously noticed).

3
4 Dated: June 9, 2006

LOEB & LOEB LLP

5
6 By: /s/ Michelle M. La Mar
7 Michelle M. La Mar
8 Attorneys for Defendants
9 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL
10 CORPORATION; TATA CONSULTANCY
SERVICES, LTD.; and TATA SONS, LTD

11 Dated: June 9, 2006

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

12
13 By: /s/ Kevin J. Smith
14 Kevin J. Smith
15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL
17 CORPORATION; TATA CONSULTANCY
SERVICES, LTD.; and TATA SONS, LTD

18 Dated: June 9, 2006

LEIFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP

19
20
21 By: /s/ Steven M. Tindall by PEL w/permission
22 Steven M. Tindall
23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
24 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA
25 BERI, on behalf of themselves and others
similarly situated

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26
27 Dated: 6/9/2006

